RESEARCH Open Access

Spiritual care competency and its relationship with clinical self-efficacy in nursing students



Zahra Sahebi¹ and Maasoumeh Barkhordari-Sharifabad^{1*}

Abstract

Background Spiritual care occupies a special place in holistic care and improving the quality of services provided to patients. The component of clinical self-efficacy is recognized as a prerequisite for clinical capacity and competency. The current study determined the level of spiritual care competency and its relationship with clinical self-efficacy in nursing students.

Methods This cross-sectional study was conducted on 194 nursing students studying in medical sciences universities in Yazd, Iran, who were selected by convenient sampling. Data collection tools were a spiritual care competency scale and nursing students' clinical self-efficacy questionnaire. The gleaned data were analyzed by SPSS20 using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results The mean scores of spiritual care competency and clinical self-efficacy of students were 70.29 ± 9.58 and 102.40 ± 21.57 , respectively. The results of Pearson's correlation coefficient test showed that clinical self-efficacy had a positive significant correlation with spiritual care competency (p = 0.04, r = 0.14). The mean score of spiritual care competency was higher in female students (71.10 ± 9.81) than male students (67.50 ± 8.23) with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05). The regression test results suggested that clinical self-efficacy can be considered as a predictor of spiritual care competency.

Conclusion The results revealed that spiritual care competency in nursing students was at an average level, and the higher the level of clinical self-efficacy is, the more developed the spiritual care competency will be. Thus, nursing educators and health system managers should pay special attention to improving the clinical self-efficacy of nursing students to develop their spiritual care competency.

Keywords Clinical self-efficacy, Spiritual care competency, Spirituality, Nursing students

^{*}Correspondence:
Maasoumeh Barkhordari-Sharifabad
barkhordary.m@gmail.com

¹Department of Nursing, School of Medical Sciences, Yazd Branch, Islamic
Azad University, Yazd, Iran



Background

The role of spirituality in promoting patient health has recently attracted much attention [1]. Spirituality has several definitions, most of which deal with the ultimate goal in life, the experience of a transcendent dimension that gives meaning to existence and the capacity to experience the sacred [2]. Spirituality is an inner resource that directly contributes to a sense of purpose in life, resilience, adaptive coping, and wellbeing [3]. Altruism, interconnectedness, love, contemplative practice, and religious and spiritual reflection constitute the dimensions of spirituality [4].

In the Iranian context, religious values, beliefs and practices have given new meaning to the professional life of nurses, and the spiritual foundation and religious beliefs of nurses help them give meaning to care in a positive way [5]. Islam is the dominant religion in Iran [6], and spirituality in Islam means having human values such as faith in God, respect, acceptance of others, piety, serving the people, optimism, and honesty [7]. Helping fulfill the spiritual needs of patients and their families is considered an essential element of clinical care [8], and providing spiritual care is an important part of nurses' performance [9].

Competency in spiritual care refers to the ability of nurses to assess and provide measures to meet the spiritual needs of patients in cooperation with the multidisciplinary healthcare team [10]. Research findings have indicated that the concept of spirituality and spiritual care in the health system still remains a subjective, ambiguous, and complex idea [11]. They also show that many nurses have not received training in spirituality and spiritual care and consider their ability to provide spiritual care to be weak [12]. One study found that nurses do not have proper professional qualifications in providing spiritual care services [13], and role models do not play a sufficient role at the bedside to provide spiritual care [14].

Self-efficacy is necessary for providing comprehensive and holistically valuable care [11]. Clinical self-efficacy is nurses' judgments about the ability to organize and administer nursing care independently based on the nursing process at the bedside [15]. The results of studies indicate that self-efficacy has a positive relationship with clinical skill competency [16], self-esteem and sense of belonging in the clinical setting [17], creativity [18], clinical performance [19], work commitment [20], and self-regulated learning [21].

Nursing students are the would-be nurses of the future who will have to practice spiritual care in clinical practice. Hence, their competencies in spiritual care greatly affect the quality of their patients' spiritual care. The clinical education of nursing students

predisposes them to applying nursing science at the bedside [22], and improving clinical self-efficacy leads to increasing the quality of performance of the workforce trained for nursing [23]. Based on the information stated above, the current study aimed to determine the level of spiritual care competency and its relationship with clinical self-efficacy in nursing students.

Methods

The participants in this cross-sectional study were selected from nursing schools in Yazd province, Iran, from April 2022 to June 2022. The research sample was considered to be 176 nursing students, with a confidence interval of 95%, test power of 80%, and correlation coefficient of 0.21, based on the pilot study. With a subject attrition rate of 10%, the sample volume was calculated as 194 participants. Sampling was done using the convenient sampling method. Participants were selected based on the following criteria: (a) being a fourth-year nursing student, (b) engaged in undergraduate studies, (c) studying in the second semester of the 2021/2022, academic year, (d) no history of physical or mental problems, and (e) willing to participate in the study. This study used three questionnaires to collect data.

Demographic characteristics questionnaire This collected information on the age, gender, marital status, and grade point average of the participants.

Spiritual care competency scale Developed by Van Leeuwen et al. (2009), [24], this scale contains 27 items covering 6 dimensions: "evaluation and implementation of spiritual care" (items 1-6), "specialization and improvement of the quality of spiritual care" (items 7-12), "individual support and consultation with the patient" (items 13-18), "referral to specialists" (items 19-21), "attitude towards the patient's spirituality" (items 22-25), and "communications" (items 26-27). It is scored on a 5-point Likert scale (completely agree = 5 to completely disagree = 1). The total score of this scale varies between 27 and 135; scores of 27 to 62, 63 to 98, and above 98 indicate low, medium, and high competency, respectively. Construct validity was examined through exploratory factor analysis by Van Leeuwen et al., and the results showed that these six dimensions accounted for 53% of the total variance. The reliability of the scale was calculated using Cronbach's α coefficient, which was equal to 0.82, indicating appropriate reliability [24]. After translating the scale, Khalaj et al. investigated its validity and reliability on nursing students. Their results indicated that the psychometric quality of the Persian version of the scale was satisfac-

Table 1 Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Variable		F (%)
Gender	Male	44 (22.7)
	Female	150 (77.3)
Marital status	Single	173 (89.2)
	Married	21 (10.8)
Variable		M±SD
Age		21.68 ± 2.30
Grade point average		17.02 ± 0.95

Table 2 Mean and standard deviation of spiritual care competency and clinical self-efficacy in participants

		cy in participants			
Variables		M±SD	Standard- ized scores based on		
			percentage		
Spiritual care competency	Evaluation and imple- mentation of spiritual care	15.74±2.91	54.15 ± 16.15		
	Specialization and improving the quality of spiritual care	14.60 ± 3.13	44.72 ± 18.44		
	Individual support and counseling of patients	15.62±2.99	53.46±16.95		
	Referral to specialists	7.07 ± 1.81	45.24 ± 20.12		
	Attitude about patients' spirituality	11.76±2.32	52.90 ± 25.78		
	Communications	5.48 ± 1.51	58.16±25.18		
	Total	70.29 ± 9.58	39.19 ± 15.45		
Clinical self-eff	icacy	102.40 ± 21.57	-		

tory. They reported a Cronbach's α coefficient of 0.77 for the whole instrument and between 0.65 and 0.85 for the subscales [25]. In the present study, Cronbach's α coefficient was 0.83.

Nursing students' clinical self-efficacy question-naire This questionnaire was created by Cheraghi et al. (2009) [15]. This tool has 37 items and is graded on a 4-point Likert scale (from not sure at all =1 to completely sure=4). The minimum and maximum points for participants are 37 and 148. Higher scores indicate higher levels of clinical self-efficacy. The total score of the instrument is classified into three categories: low (37–74), medium (74.1–111), and high (111.1–148). The content validity of the tool was reviewed and confirmed by twenty faculty members of the school of nursing. A Cronbach's α coefficient of 0.96 and testretest correlation coefficient of 0.73 have been reported [15]. In the present study, Cronbach's α was 0.94.

Data were analyzed with SPSS20 using descriptive statistics (absolute and relative frequency, mean and standard deviation) and inferential statistics (parametric t-test, analysis of variance, (ANOVA), Pearson correlation coefficient, and regression). The

Table 3 Correlation between 'spiritual care competency and its dimensions' and 'clinical self-efficacy'

Variables	Clinical self-efficacy		
	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	
Evaluation and implementation of spiritual care	0.178	0.013	
Specialization and improving the quality of spiritual care	0.142	0.048	
Individual support and counseling of patients	0.016	0.828	
Referral to specialists	0.061	0.396	
Attitude about patients' spirituality	0.133	0.064	
Communications	-0.017	0.813	
Spiritual care competency (total)	0.147	0.041	

Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test was run to confirm the assumption of normality of data distribution (P > 0.05).

Findings

All of the 194 distributed questionnaires were completed and analyzed. Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the participants.

The mean scores of spiritual care competency and clinical self-efficacy were 70.29 ± 9.58 and 102.40 ± 21.57 , respectively. Based on the standardized scores, the highest mean of spiritual care competency was related to the area of communication (58.16 ± 25.18) and the lowest mean was related to the area of specialization and improving the quality of spiritual care (44.72 ± 18.44) (Table 2). The majority of participants had an average level of spiritual care competency (81.5%) and clinical self-efficacy (56.7%).

The results of Pearson's correlation coefficient test demonstrated that clinical self-efficacy had a positive significant relationship with spiritual care competency (p=0.041, r=0.147). The relationships between clinical self-efficacy with the dimension of "evaluation and implementation of spiritual care" (p=0.013, r=0.178) and the dimension of "specialization and improving the quality of spiritual care" (p=0.048, r=0.142) were also positive and significant (Table 3).

No statistically significant relationship was observed between "spiritual care competency" and "age, grade point average, or marital status" (p>0.05). The mean score of spiritual care competency was higher in female students (9.817 \pm 71.1) than male students (8.233 \pm 67.5), a significant difference according to the independent t-test (p<0.05).

Simultaneous linear regression analysis was used to investigate the role of clinical self-efficacy and demographic variables (as a predictor variable) in spiritual care competency (as a dependent variable). Among the demographic variables, only gender, which had a significant relationship with spiritual care competency, was included

in the regression analysis. The results indicated that the multiple correlation coefficient (R) was 0.212 and the squared coefficient (R²) was 0.045. The results of ANOVA [p=0.012, F=4.50 (191, 2)] showed that this value of R² was significant (Table 4).

Discussion

The goal of the present study was to determine the level of spiritual care competency and its relationship with clinical self-efficacy in nursing students.

The present study found the level of spiritual care competency to be at an average level, which was consistent with the results of other researchers [26, 27], although it contrasted with the results of Jafari and Fallahi-Khoshknab [28] and Nasehi et al. [29]. Kalkim et al. reported that the level of spiritual care competency of nursing students in Turkey was not optimal [30]. Adib-HajBagheri and Zehtabchi found that nurses did not have appropriate professional competency in providing spiritual care and had not yet received training related to this concept [12]. Study results also indicate the need to pay more attention to spiritual care competency in students and to discover many strategies to improve the spiritual care competency of nursing students. Iran's nursing curriculum neglects spiritual care, and the educational syllabi need to be revised in order to improve nursing students' understanding of spiritual care and how to use it in clinical practice.

The results further revealed an average level of spiritual care competency in almost all areas. The highest and lowest means pertained to the areas of "communications" and "specialization and improving the quality of spiritual care", respectively. These results are inconsistent with the findings of other studies [12, 31]. In the study by Yazdanparast et al., nursing students scored high in most areas of spiritual care [32]. The area of "specialization and improving the quality of spiritual care" includes those activities of nurses that aim to ensure quality and develop policy in the field of spiritual care. In fact, this area refers to participation at the institutional level that goes beyond the primary care process and through which nurses contribute to the promotion of professional performance [24]. This area had the lowest mean score in the present study. Recognizing and using specialization strategies such as teamwork, dynamic learning, and improving students' self-concept can be helpful in improving this area [33], which, given its low level in the present study, should be taken into consideration by nursing educators.

The results also indicated that clinical self-efficacy was at an average level in the majority of research units, which is consistent with the findings of Salimi et al. [34]. Inconsistent with these results, the findings of some studies have indicated that students had a high level of self-efficacy [17, 35, 36], although Zhang et al. reported the level of self-efficacy of Chinese students as low [37]. Considering the many factors affecting the self-efficacy of nursing students such as academic semester, type of education and clinical training, achieving different results of self-efficacy levels in different studies is predictable and inevitable [17, 38, 39].

The findings of the current research showed that the higher the clinical self-efficacy is, the more developed the spiritual care competency in students will be, and that spiritual care competency can be predicted by clinical self-efficacy. Haghani et al. also concluded that the higher the clinical self-efficacy is, the better the performance of nurses in different dimensions of care will be [40]. Chang et al. also found that self-efficacy had a significant positive relationship with the spiritual care competency of nursing students in China [41]. The findings of Cheraghi et al. also suggested that there was a positive significant correlation between "clinical self-efficacy" and "clinical nursing performance" [19]. In Opacic's study, it was also found that the clinical self-efficacy of final year medical students had a significant positive relationship with their performance at the patient's bedside, and students with high self-efficacy were more inclined to improve the quality of their clinical performance [42]. Moreover, Goldenberg et al. reported a significant positive relationship between self-efficacy and the nursing process, including patient examination, planning, implementation, and program evaluation [43].

It can be said that students who have higher clinical self-efficacy have a better understanding of the concept of "holistic care" and attend to the spiritual dimension of the patient in addition to other dimensions [44]. Put another way, students with higher self-efficacy attempt to provide related spiritual care and develop their spiritual

Table 4 Summary of regression model results

Predictor Variable	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient	t-observed	p -value
	В	SE	β		
Fixed	61.062	3.493		17.483	< 0.001
Clinical self-efficacy	0.064	0.031	0.144	2.031	0.044
Gender (reference: male)					
Female	3.495	1.614	0.153	2.166	0.032
Adjusted $R^2 = 0.035$	$R^2 = 0.045$			R=0.212	

Dependent variable: Spiritual care competency

care competency in addition to improving their professional knowledge and skills.

Furthermore, the results indicated that with an increase in clinical self-efficacy, the mean score of the areas of "evaluation and implementation of spiritual care" and "specialization and improving the quality of spiritual care" also increased, but this relationship was not significant for other dimensions. The results of Chang et al.'s study showed that self-efficacy is related to all of the dimensions of spiritual care competency of nursing students in China [41]. Of course, in Chang et al.'s study, general self-efficacy was evaluated, while the present study evaluated clinical self-efficacy, which includes the items of patient examination, nursing diagnosis, and planning, implementation, and evaluation of the care plan. Students with higher clinical self-efficacy are better able to determine patients' spiritual needs and/or problems, plan spiritual care, and contribute to the promotion of professional performance by participating in quality assurance activities and policy development in the field of spiritual care.

Limitations of the study

One of the limitations of the present study was its crosssectional nature, which makes it difficult to make a causal inference. Moreover, the questionnaires were of the selfreport type, which creates the possibility of social desirability bias. To resolve this limitation, the authors tried to thoroughly explain the study objectives to the students and to assure participants that the information they gave would remain confidential and anonymous and the reports would be presented in general.

Recommendations for future research

For future research, it is suggested that studies investigate the development and implementation of focused interventions aimed at improving the clinical self-efficacy of nursing students and its effect on spiritual care competence. These interventions might encompass different educational methods such as problem-based learning, mentorship programs, etc. [45]. Since spirituality is a subjective and culture-oriented term [46], it is recommended that multicenter studies with larger samples be conducted in educational settings with different cultures and educational contexts. Engaging in longitudinal investigations that track nursing students over the course of their education can provide valuable insights into the progression of their spiritual care competency and clinical self-efficacy. A comparative study between the nursing categories could help provide evidence of similarities or differences in the mentioned variables. It is also suggested that the relationship between spiritual care competency and other variables such as awareness

of bio-ethical principles, organizational commitment, and responsibility should be investigated.

Conclusion

The results of the current study showed that spiritual care competency in nursing students was at an average level, and with the improvement of clinical self-efficacy, spiritual care competency is also developed. Thus, nursing educators and health system managers should pay special attention to methods that can improve the clinical self-efficacy of nursing students to improve their perceived competency in spiritual care. Theoretical training and clinical practice in caring for patients with refractory diseases and implementing innovative and active educational strategies are potential methods for developing clinical self-efficacy and ability in spiritual care.

Abbreviations

SD Standard Deviation KS Kolmogorov-Smirnov ANOVA Analysis of variance

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04937-3.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the students who helped us in conducting this research.

Author contribution

All authors (ZS and MB-SH) have participated in the conception and design of the study. ZS contributed the data collection and prepared the first draft of the manuscript. MB-SH critically revised and checked closely the proposal, the analysis and interpretation of the data and design the article. ZS and MB-SH carried out the analysis, interpretation of the data and drafting the manuscript. MB-SH has been involved in revising the manuscript critically. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Data Availability

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to an agreement with the participants on the confidentiality of the data but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Islamic Azad University, Khorasgan Branch, Isfahan, Iran (approval No: IR.IAU.KHUISF.REC.1400.096), and after obtaining the necessary permits, the researcher presented the questionnaires to the research units. Informed written consent was obtained from the participants and anonymity and confidentiality of information was emphasized to the participants. All participants were informed of the objectives of the research and the voluntary nature of participation. All methods were carried out in accordance with

relevant guidelines and regulations. This study adhered to the STROBE checklist for cross-sectional studies and adjusted accordingly.

Consent for publication

The article does not contain any individual's details and consent for publication is not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 27 April 2023 / Accepted: 5 December 2023 Published online: 08 December 2023

References

- Bożek A, Nowak PF, Blukacz M. The relationship between spirituality, healthrelated behavior, and psychological well-being. Frontiers in Psychology 2020, 11:1997. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01997.
- Bohlmeijer E, Frielingsdorf L, Kraiss J, de Jager-Meezenbroek E, Visser A, Ten Klooster P. Spirituality in the Context of Well-being. Evaluation of the Psychometric properties and added value of the spiritual attitude and involvement list short form (SAIL-SF). J Happiness Stud. 2023;24(3):1169–90. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10902-023-00640-8.
- Van Dierendonck D. Spirituality as an essential determinant for the good life, its importance relative to self-determinant psychological needs. J Happiness Stud. 2012;13:685–700. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-011-9286-2.
- McClintock CH, Lau E, Miller L. Phenotypic dimensions of spirituality: implications for mental health in China, India, and the United States. Front Psychol. 2016;7:1600. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01600.
- Tirgari B, Iranmanesh S, Cheraghi MA, Arefi A. Meaning of spiritual care: Iranian nurses' experiences. Holist Nurs Pract. 2013;27(4):199–206. https://doi. org/10.1097/HNP.0b013e318294e774.
- Cheraghi MA, Payne S, Salsali M. Spiritual aspects of end-of-life care for Muslim patients: experiences from Iran. Int J Palliat Nurs. 2005;11(9):468–74. https://doi.org/10.12968/ijpn.2005.11.9.19781.
- Imanzad M, Tavan H, Taghinejad H, Mousavi Moghadam R, Sayehmire K. Evaluation of spiritual health in nursing and midwifery school students of Ilam University of Medical Sciences according to Islam. Adv Nurs Midwifery. 2013;23(80):25–33. (in Persian).
- Heidari M, Gheshlaghi AN, Masoudi R, Raeisi H, Sobouti B. Effects of a spiritual care program on body image and resilience in patients with Second-Degree Burns in Iran. J Relig Health 2023:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10943-022-01732-0.
- Rajabipoor Meybodi A, Mohammadi M. Identifying the components of spirituality affecting the resilience of nurses. J Nurs Adm Manag. 2021;29(5):982–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13235.
- Chen ML, Chen YH, Lin LC, Chuang LL. Factors influencing the self-perceived competencies in spiritual care of nurses in the long-term care facilities. J Nurs Adm Manag. 2020;28(6):1286–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13080.
- Soheili M, Mollai Iveli M. A review of the role of spiritual cares in the nursing profession. J Relig Health. 2019;7(1):75–84. (in Persian).
- Adib-Hajbaghery M, Zehtabchi S. Assessment of nurses' professional competence in spiritual care in Kashan's hospitals in 2014. Avicenna J Nurs Midwifery Care. 2014;22(4):23–32. (in Persian).
- Adib-Hajbaghery M, Zehtabchi S, Fini IA. Iranian nurses' professional competence in spiritual care in 2014. Nurs Ethics. 2017;24(4):462–73. https://doi. org/10.1177/0969733015600910.
- Giske T, Cone PH. Opening up to learning spiritual care of patients: a grounded theory study of nursing students. J Clin Nurs. 2012;21(13– 14):2006–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.04054.x.
- Cheraghi F, Hassani P, Yaghmaei F, Alavi-Majed H. Developing a valid and reliable self-efficacy in clinical performance scale. Int Nurs Rev. 2009;56(2):214–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-7657.2008.00685.x.
- Yoo M-S, Yoo I-Y, Hong S-K, Son Y-J. Relationship between self-efficacy and clinical skill competence of nursing students. J Korean Acad Fundamentals Nurs. 2006;13(3):343–50.
- Safarizadeh MM, Tirgari B, Rasht OSR. Study of relationship between clinical self-efficacy and belonginess to clinical environment in undergraduate

- nursing students of the Razi School of Nursing and Midwifery, Kerman University of Medical Sciences in 2016–2017. J Nurs Educ. 2019;7(6):31–8. (in Persian).
- Bahador RS, Soltani F, Madadizadeh F. The assessment of relationship between creativity and self-efficacy of clinical performance based on the nursing process in nursing students of Kerman. J Clin Nurs Midwifery. 2016;5(3):12–22. (in Persian).
- Cheraghi F, Hasani P, Rizai H. Investigating the correlation between selfefficacy and clinical performance of nursing students. Nurs Midwifery School J Hamedan. 2011;19(1):34–45. (in Persian).
- 20. Beiranvand S, Safapour F, Zare S. Spiritual Intelligence and its relationship with nurses' self-efficacy. J Nurs Educ. 2020;8(5):19–24. (in Persian).
- 21. Hassani P, Cheraghi F, Yaghmaei F. Self-efficacy and self-regulated learning in clinical performance of nursing students: a qualitative research. Iran J Med Educ. 2008;8(1):33–42. (in Persian).
- 22. Freiburger OA. Preceptor programs: increasing student self-confidence and competency. Nurse Educ. 2002;27(2):58–60.
- Khari S, Pazokian M, Abadi ASA, Zarmehrparirouy M, Nakhostin Moghadam A. Evaluation of the quality of Clinical Education and the clinical self-efficacy of nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Open Nurs J. 2023;17(1):1– 9. https://doi.org/10.2174/18744346-v17-e230113-2022-82.
- Van Leeuwen R, Tiesinga LJ, Middel B, Post D, Jochemsen H. The validity and reliability of an instrument to assess nursing competencies in spiritual care. J Clin Nurs. 2009;18(20):2857–69. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02594.x.
- Khalaj M, Pakpour A, Mohammadi Zeidi I. Validity and reliability of a persian version of nursing students' competence scale in spiritual care. J Inflamm Dis. 2013;17(2):63–70. (in Persian).
- Jokar M, Kavi E, Faramarzian Z, Seifi Z, Bazrafshan M-R. Nursing students and nurses attitude toward spirituality and spiritual care. Nurs Midwifery J. 2019;17(2):120–30. (in Persian).
- 27. Guo Z, Zhang Y, Li P, Zhang Q, Shi C. Student nurses' spiritual care competence and attitude: an online survey. Nurs Open. 2023;10(3):1811–20. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1441.
- 28. Jafari M, Fallahi-Khoshknab M. Competence in providing spiritual care and its relationship with spiritual well-being among Iranian nurses. J Educ Health Promotion. 2021;10(388):1–5. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_203_21.
- Nasehi A, Rafiei H, Jafari M, Borhani F, Sabzevari S, Baneshi M, Rahimi-Madiseh M. Survey of nurse's students competencies for delivering spiritual care to their patients. J Clin Nurs Midwifery. 2013;2(3):1–9. (in Persian).
- Kalkim A, Midilli TS, Daghan S. Nursing students' perceptions of spirituality and spiritual care and their spiritual care competencies: a correlational research study. J Hospice Palliat Nurs. 2018;20(3):286–95. https://doi. org/10.1097/NJH.0000000000000446.
- 31. Zare A, Jahandideh S. The impact of special wards nursing spiritual well-being upon patients' spiritual care. Iran J Nurs Res. 2014;9(3):30–8. (in Persian).
- Yazdan Parast E, Afrazandeh S, Ghorbani H, Asadi F, Rajabi R, Naseri L, Sekandari F. Analysis of spiritual care competence in students of Ferdows Health and Paramedical School: 2014-15. Iran J Nurs. 2017;30(105):47–57. (in Persian).
- 33. Badiyepeymaiejahromi Z, Yektatalab S, Momennasab M, Mehrabi M. The effects of mobile-based education on nurse self-concept among nursing students: an embedded mixed methods study. Investigación Y Educación en Enfermería. 2023;41(1):e15. https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.iee.v41n1e15.
- Salimi HR, Pourebrahimi M, Hoseinabadi-Farahani MJ. Clinical Self-Efficacy, dimensions and related factors among nursing students. J Nurs Educ. 2017;5(2):1–7. (in Persian).
- Motahari M, Rahimibashar M, Ghasemnegad S. The relationship between clinical self-efficacy and academic achievement motivation in nursing students. Res Med Educ. 2020;12(2):10–20. (in Persian).
- Sarikoc G, Oksuz E. Academic motivations and academic self-efficacy of nursing students. J Clin Anal Med. 2017;8(1):47–51. https://doi.org/10.4328/ ICAM 4654.
- Zhang Z-J, Zhang C-L, Zhang X-G, Liu X-M, Zhang H, Wang J, Liu S. Relationship between self-efficacy beliefs and achievement motivation in student nurses. Chin Nurs Res. 2015;2(2–3):67–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cnre 2015.06.001
- Sam Aram E, Hezarjaribi J, Fadakar M, Karami M, Shamsaei MM. Spiritual health: Framework, scope, and components based on the teachings of Islam. Social Dev Welf Plann. 2013;5(14):1–26. https://doi.org/10.22054/ qjsd.2013.3262. (in Persian).

- Mousavi SK, Kamali M. Clinical self-efficacy of final-year nursing students: a comparison of a 360-degree evaluation method with a conventional method. J Med Educ Dev. 2022;15(47):27–35.
- 40. Haghani F, Asgari F, Zare S, Mahjoob-Moadab H. Correlation between self-efficacy and clinical performance of the internship nursing students. Res Med Educ. 2013;5(1):22–30. (in Persian).
- 41. Cheng Q, Liu X, Li X, Wang Y, Lin Q, Qing L, Wei D, Chen Y. Spiritual care competence and its relationship with self-efficacy: an online survey among nurses in mainland China. J Nurs Adm Manag. 2021;29(2):326–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13157.
- 42. Opacic DA. The relationship between self-efficacy and student physician assistant clinical performance. J Allied Health. 2003;32(3):158–66.
- 43. Goldenberg D, Andrusyszyn M-A, Iwasiw C. The effect of classroom simulation on nursing students' self-efficacy related to health teaching. J Nurs Educ. 2005;44(7):310–4. https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20050701-04.

- 44. Mazaheri M, Fallahi Khoshknab M, Sayyed Bagher Maddah S, Rahgozar M. Nursing attitude to spirituality and spiritual care. Payesh. 2009;8(1):31–7. (in Persian).
- 45. Abdal M, Alavi NM, Adib-Hajbaghery M. Clinical self-efficacy in senior nursing students: a mixed-methods study. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2015;4(3):e29143. https://doi.org/10.17795/nmsjournal29143.
- Niu Y, McSherry W, Partridge M. Exploring the meaning of spirituality and spiritual care in Chinese contexts: a scoping review. J Relig Health 2021:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-021-01199-5.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.