

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Content and strength of conflict of interest policies at scandinavian medical schools: a cross sectional study

Alice Fabbri^{1,2*}, Shai Mulinari³, Martin Johansson^{4,5}, Weda Ghaur⁶, Abdullah Muhammad Khalil⁷ and Andreas Lundh^{8,9,10}

Correction To: *BMC Medical Education* (2022) 22:812
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03881-y>.

stricter policies (mean score: 0.9) followed by Sweden (mean score: 0.7) and Denmark (mean score: 0.5)."

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors informed us that due to an error while merging the data, Table 1 includes some inaccurate data. Please find below the amended version of the table. To reflect these changes, one sentence of the results section should be amended.

Amended version: *"On average, Norwegian schools had stricter policies (mean score: 0.8) followed by Sweden (mean score: 0.7) and Denmark (mean score: 0.5)."*

Previous version: *"On average, Norwegian schools had*

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03881-y>.

*Correspondence:

Alice Fabbri

af987@bath.ac.uk

¹Department for Health, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

²Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Odense (CEBMO), University of Southern Denmark and Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

³Department of Sociology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

⁴Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Clinical Physiology, Skåne University Hospital, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

⁵Department of Paediatric Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Children's Hospital, Skane University Hospital, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

⁶Aarhus University, Århus, Denmark

⁷Medical University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

⁸Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Odense (CEBMO) and Cochrane Denmark, Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

⁹Open Patient data Explorative Network (OPEN), Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

¹⁰Department of Respiratory Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 1 Number of medical schools with policies for each item and strength of the policy

Country	DENMARK (n = 4)				NORWAY (n = 4)				SWEDEN (n = 7)				TOTAL (n = 15)			
	No. of schools with no or permissive policy (score = 0)	No. of schools with moderate policy (score = 1)	No. of schools with restrictive policy (score = 2)	No. of schools with no or permissive policy (score = 0)	No. of schools with moderate policy (score = 1)	No. of schools with restrictive policy (score = 2)	No. of schools with no or permissive policy (score = 0)	No. of schools with moderate policy (score = 1)	No. of schools with restrictive policy (score = 2)	No. of schools with no or permissive policy (score = 0)	No. of schools with moderate policy (score = 1)	No. of schools with restrictive policy (score = 2)	No. of schools with no or permissive policy (score = 0)	No. of schools with moderate policy (score = 1)	No. of schools with restrictive policy (score = 2)	
1.Gifts	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	7	0	0	1	13	1		
2.Consulting	0	3	0	0	2	2	0	7	0	0	0	1	9	5		
3.Speaking	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	6	9	0		
4.Honoraria	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	6	3	4	3	4	8		
5.Ghostwriting	0	2	3	1	1	0	4	1	2	9	2	0	13	4		
6.Disclosure	4	0	0	2	2	2	0	7	0	0	0	13	2	0		
7.Sales representatives	0	0	2	2	2	0	7	0	0	13	2	0	0	0		
8.On-site education	1	0	3	3	1	0	5	2	0	11	4	0	0	0		
9.Travel or off-site events	1	1	1	1	0	3	0	7	0	3	8	4	4	0		
10.Medical school curriculum	0	0	3	3	1	0	6	1	0	13	2	0	0	0		
11.Samples	0	0	3	3	1	0	7	0	0	14	1	0	0	0		
Mean item score*	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7		
Enforcement of policies																
	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes		
Oversight	1	3	1	3	0	7	0	7	0	2	13	2	13	13		
Sanctions	1	3	1	3	0	7	0	7	0	2	13	2	13	13		

* Calculated as the sum of the scores divided by number of items (i.e., 11) and number of institutions.

Published online: 02 March 2023

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. Fabbri, et al. *BMC Med Educ.* 2022;22:12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03881-y>.